



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,068	08/04/2003	Constantine N. Colyvas		5227
7590 03/28/2006		•	EXAMINER	
Constantine N. Colyvas			SWINEHART, EDWIN L	
59 Valoric Road Southampton, NY 11968			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
200000000000000000000000000000000000000			3617	<u> </u>
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summer	10/634,068	COLYVAS, CONSTANTINE N.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ed Swinehart	3617
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION CFR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a recation. ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>07 July 2005</i> .	
	☐ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice		•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are pending in the	• •	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	an and/or election requirement	
	n una/or clockon roquirement.	
Application Papers	_	
9) The specification is objected to by the E		huitha Francisca
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		
Applicant may not request that any objection	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	-	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage
application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the electromagnetic clutch must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,068 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

Claim 1 is of multiple sentence form. Furthermore, "said high velocity water jet pump outlet manifold", "said high velocity water jet pump inlet manifold", "said cockpit" lack antecedent basis in the claims.

Claim 3 is not understood. Such sets forth "Any other method", which renders the claim indefinite as the metes and bounds cannot be determined, and the claim further fails to recite and method steps. The claim is entirely functional in nature.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 3 as presented does not find adequate support in the

specification as originally filed, and is therefore New Matter. Specifically, no basis can be found for "legally claim and pay towage".

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Risi in view of Rice.

De Risi discloses the field of the invention, including a primary engine having an electromagnetic clutch and belt, for driving a pump. Outlets **1-4**, each controlled by a solenoid-actuated valve are provided and positioned as claimed. Additional outlets may be provided as desired at bow or stern, for propulsion. The solenoid valves and clutch are controlled via control board **9** positioned on the bridge.

De Risi fails to mention the use of his pump to also pump water from the bilge, nor the use of pump output to fight fires.

Rice teaches an engine driven waterjet maneuvering system which when desired is further operative to pump water from the bilge as well as use pump output to fight fires.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide further utility to the device of De Risi by provision of a bilge water inlet to the pump, as well as an outlet therefrom used for fighting fires.

Such a combination would have been desirable at the time of the invention so as to provide for safety of the crew.

The shaping of various pipe sections (U-shaped) such is considered to have been an obvious design consideration to the ordinary routineer working in the art at the time of the invention, and providing no unexpected results. Like wise the number of switches employed to activate the valves and clutch is considered to have been an obvious design consideration to the ordinary routineer working in the art at the time of the invention, and providing no unexpected results. For example, provision of a master switch A, such as an ignition switch to provide electrical power to all the other switches (one for each valve) would have been common sense. Actuation of such other switches then would effect sideways movement as claimed.

8. Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant notes the rejections under 35 USC 112 2nd. paragraph, yet makes no amendment to overcome same. Applicant asserts that such errors have not been specifically pointed out to him. The examiner has elaborated somewhat in the rejection above citing examples. Re the indication of claim 1 being of multiple sentence form, Applicant proceeds to remind the examiner of the proper form of a sentence. The examiner appreciates such a reminder, and suggests Applicant note the last line of paragraph e) of claim 1, which includes a period.

Applicant states that the examiner "has not presented a convincing line of reasoning as to why the claimed subject matter, as a whole including the differences

over the prior art, would have been obvious", and implies the examiner has used impermissible hindsight in the rejection. Applicant then states, "Each reference is complete and functional by itself and should be considered as such, without adding parts from other references to meet the claim".

In response, combination of references and their teachings is permissible under law, and the correctness of 35 USC 103 will not be debated here. Re applicant's assertion of hindsight reconstruction, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

Applicant's remaining comments re the references are noted, but such are generally directed to their specific modes of operation, and are not directed to the combination of references as proposed by the examiner.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3617

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ed Swinehart whose telephone number is 571-272-6688. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:30 am to 2:00 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ed Swinehart
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3617